

Reference is made to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference (BPAI) ruling affirming the patent examiner rejections (BPAI appeal No 2006-0625, date August 31) of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as well as the BPAI finding additional items for rejection of obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). New and additional arguments for patentability are provided below. Because this is a reissue and reexamination action, it should be considered a special and expedited procedure.

I. Claims Amendment

Please amend my claims as follows:

Cancel Claims 7, 8 and 9

Amend Claim 6 as follows:

6. (Amended) A public on-line, pay-as-you-use Internet terminal comprising a:

- a central processing unit (CPU);
- a video display monitor coupled to the CPU;
- a keyboard for providing user interface coupled to the CPU;
- a credit card reader swipe device coupled to the CPU for accepting payment by a user for use of the terminal;
- means for accessing the Internet and allow for user interaction;
- software installed into the CPU to allow interface with the Internet and credit card service centers;
- and a printer coupled to the CPU.

II. Summary of the BPAI Ruling:

The rejection of claims 6-9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) by the Examiner were sustained by the BPAI. The rejections were affirmed in essence, because it was determined by the